



Representative Farms Economic Outlook for the January 2016 FAPRI/AFPC Baseline

Briefing Paper 16-1 March 2016



Agricultural and Food Policy Center

Department of Agricultural Economics Texas AgriLife Research Texas AgriLife Extension Service Texas A&M University College Station, Texas 77843-2124 Telephone: (979) 845-5913 Fax: (979) 845-3140

http://www.afpc.tamu.edu

REPRESENTATIVE FARMS ECONOMIC OUTLOOK FOR THE JANUARY 2016 FAPRI/AFPC BASELINE

AFPC Briefing Paper 16-1

James W. Richardson Joe L. Outlaw George M. Knapek J. Marc Raulston Brian K. Herbst David P. Anderson Steven L. Klose



The Texas A&M University System

Agricultural and Food Policy Center Department of Agricultural Economics Texas A&M AgriLife Research Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Texas A&M University

March 2016

College Station, Texas 77843-2124 Telephone: (979) 845-5913 Fax: (979) 845-3140 Web Site: www.afpc.tamu.edu

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Agricultural and Food Policy Center (AFPC) at Texas A&M University develops and maintains data to simulate 94 representative crop, dairy, and livestock operations in major production areas in 29 states. The chief purpose of this analysis is to project the economic viability of those farms by region and commodity for 2016 through 2020. The data necessary to simulate the economic activity of these operations is developed through ongoing cooperation with panels of agricultural producers in selected states. The Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute (FAPRI) provided projected prices, policy variables, and input inflation rates in their January 2016 Baseline.

Under the January 2016 Baseline, 27 of the 63 crop farms are considered in good liquidity condition (less than a 25 percent chance of negative ending cash by 2020). Ten crop farms have between a 25 percent and a 50 percent likelihood of negative ending cash, and the remaining 26 crop farms have greater than a 50 percent chance of negative ending cash. Additionally, 25 of the 63 crop farms are considered in good equity position (less than a 25 percent chance of decreasing real net worth during the study period). Six crop farms have between a 25 percent and 50 percent likelihood of losing real net worth, and 32 crop farms have greater than a 50 percent probability of decreasing real net worth by 2020. The following discussion provides an overall evaluation by commodity considering both liquidity and equity measures.

- FEEDGRAIN FARMS: Ten of the 23 feedgrain farms are in good overall financial condition. Two farms are classified in marginal condition, and eleven are in poor condition.
- WHEAT FARMS: Four representative wheat farms are classified in good overall financial condition, one is in marginal condition, and six are in poor condition.
- COTTON FARMS: Three of the 15 cotton farms are classified in good condition, four are in marginal condition, and eight are in poor condition.
- RICE FARMS: Nine of the 14 rice farms are projected to be in good financial condition. One rice farm is projected to be in marginal condition; four are in poor condition.
- DAIRY FARMS: Twelve of the 20 dairies are in good overall financial condition. Four are classified in marginal condition, and four are in poor condition.
- BEEF CATTLE RANCHES: Two of the 11 cattle ranches are classified in good financial condition, eight are in marginal condition, and one is projected to be in poor condition.

REPRESENTATIVE FARMS ECONOMIC OUTLOOK FOR THE JANUARY 2016 FAPRI/AFPC BASELINE

The farm level economic impacts of the FAPRI January 2016 Baseline on representative crop and livestock operations are projected in this report, assuming provisions of the 2014 Farm Bill continue throughout the 2014-2020 study period. Crop farms are assumed to have elected ARC or PLC on a crop by crop basis that resulted in the highest projected ending cash reserves at the end of the period. Based on interviews with a sample of producers, farms are currently assumed to not purchase SCO/STAX. The analysis was conducted over the 2014-2020 planning horizon using FLIPSIM, AFPC's whole farm simulation model. Data to simulate farming operations in the nation's major production regions came from two sources:

- Producer panel cooperation to develop economic information to describe and simulate representative crop, livestock, and dairy farms.
- Projected prices, policy variables, and input inflation rates from the Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute (FAPRI) January 2016 Baseline.

The FLIPSIM policy simulation model incorporates the historical risk faced by farmers for prices and production. This report presents the results of the January 2016 Baseline in a risk context using selected simulated probabilities and ranges for annual net cash farm income values. The probability of a farm experiencing negative ending cash reserves and the probability of a farm losing real net worth are included as indicators of the cash flow and equity risks facing farms through the year 2020.

DEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES IN THE SUMMARY TABLES

- Overall Financial Position, 2016-2020 -- As a means of summarizing the representative farms' economic efficiency, liquidity, and solvency position, AFPC classifies each farm as being in either a good (green), marginal (yellow) or poor (red) position. AFPC defines a farm to be in a good financial position when it has less than a 25 percent chance each of a negative ending cash position and less than a 25 percent chance of losing real net worth through 2020. If the probabilities of these events are between 25 and 50 percent the farm is classified as marginal. A probability greater than 50 percent places the farm in a poor financial position.
- **Receipts** -- 2016-2020 average cash receipts from all farm related sources, including market sales, PLC/ARC payments, marketing loan gains/LDPs, crop insurance indemnities, and other receipts.
- **Payments** -- 2016-2020 average annual PLC or ARC payments and marketing loan gains/LDPs for crop farms.
- NCFI -- 2016-2020 average net cash farm income equals average total receipts minus average total cash expenses.
- **Reserve 2020** -- equals total cash on hand at the end of year 2020. Ending cash equals beginning cash reserves plus net cash farm income and interest earned on cash reserves less principal payments, federal taxes (income and self employment), state income taxes, family living withdrawals, and actual machinery replacement costs (not depreciation).
- Net Worth 2020 -- equity equals total assets including land minus total debt from all sources and is reported at the end of 2020.
- **CRNW** -- annualized percentage change in the operator's real net worth from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2020, after adjusting for inflation.

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Crop Prices							
Corn (\$/bu.)	3.70	3.60	3.75	3.83	3.92	3.96	3.98
Wheat (\$/bu.)	5.99	4.99	4.96	5.00	5.22	5.33	5.39
Cotton (\$/lb.)	0.6130	0.5923	0.5716	0.6046	0.6372	0.6442	0.6437
Sorghum (\$/bu.)	4.03	3.34	3.47	3.54	3.61	3.65	3.68
Soybeans (\$/bu.)	10.10	8.82	8.76	9.38	9.41	9.71	9.85
Barley (\$/bu.)	5.30	5.31	4.40	4.51	4.68	4.80	4.83
Oats (\$/bu.)	3.21	2.18	2.45	2.44	2.47	2.48	2.50
Rice (\$/cwt.)	13.30	12.80	13.49	13.59	13.95	14.19	14.19
Soybean Meal (\$/ton)	351.47	283.87	297.33	315.26	314.13	321.71	322.56
All Hay (\$/ton)	172.00	148.60	149.05	156.50	161.84	165.25	165.82
Peanuts (\$/ton)	440.00	369.30	353.86	352.04	354.29	359.60	365.68
Cattle Prices							
Feeder Cattle (\$/cwt)	225.07	226.52	193.87	171.06	159.33	155.48	159.66
Fed Cattle (\$/cwt)	154.56	148.12	133.16	122.70	118.06	116.85	119.70
Culled Cows (\$/cwt)	104.09	99.76	84.69	75.31	70.71	69.90	72.89
Milk Price							
U.S. All Milk Price (\$/cwt)	24.07	17.13	15.85	16.88	17.62	18.01	18.21

Source: Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute (FAPRI) at the University of Missouri-Columbia.

Table 2. FAPRI January 2016 Baseline Assumed Rates of Change in Input Prices and Annual Changes in Land Values, 2015-2020.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Annual Rate of Change for Input Prices Paid						
Seed Prices (%)	0.00	-1.56	-1.68	-0.22	1.26	2.08
All Fertilizer Prices (%)	-10.82	-10.06	-3.81	5.73	4.88	4.00
Herbicide Prices (%)	-3.41	-5.22	5.91	6.56	5.54	4.72
Insecticide Prices (%)	-6.58	-5.14	3.96	5.33	4.66	3.89
Fuel and Lube Prices (%)	-34.69	-10.24	12.93	13.08	8.56	7.09
Machinery Prices (%)	3.60	-1.81	2.36	4.05	4.06	3.60
Wages (%)	3.55	2.45	3.09	3.34	3.40	3.36
Supplies (%)	0.16	0.57	1.76	2.08	1.87	1.68
Repairs (%)	-0.47	0.73	2.67	3.03	2.81	2.62
Services (%)	2.60	1.27	2.65	3.28	3.36	3.23
Taxes (%)	1.90	1.10	1.68	0.74	1.40	1.93
PPI Items (%)	-3.39	-4.28	0.58	1.80	2.01	2.34
PPI Total (%)	-2.75	-3.31	0.93	2.02	2.18	2.40
Annual Change in Consumer Price Index (%)	0.13	1.21	2.56	2.68	2.54	2.50
Annual Rate of Change for U.S. Land Prices (%)	2.37	-3.22	-1.72	-0.82	-0.80	-0.38

Source: Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute (FAPRI) at the University of Missouri-Columbia.

Representative Farm: Feed Grains

- Overall, ten feed grain farms are characterized as good, two are marginal, and eleven are in poor condition.
- Eight of the twenty-three farms will be under severe cash flow stress; twelve farms have high likelihoods (greater than a 50 percent chance) of losing real net worth.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Feed Grains, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Feed Grains
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(acres)
IAG1350	1,350	6,635.00	0.24	963.20	1,350
IAG3400	3,400	16,011.00	0.22	2,190.80	3,400
NEG2400	2,400	7,323.00	0.18	1,815.50	2,400
NEG4300	4,300	25,820.00	0.17	3,415.20	4,000
NDG3000	3,000	4,558.00	0.23	1,103.20	2,500
NDG8000	8,000	28,556.00	0.18	3,208.70	5,750
ING1000	1,000	3,805.00	0.17	547.00	1,000
ING2200	2,200	10,526.00	0.18	1,309.80	2,200
MOCG2300	2,300	16,823.00	0.17	1,180.10	2,300
MOCG4000	4,000	23,020.00	0.16	1,790.70	4,000
MONG2300	2,300	11,759.00	0.15	1,642.10	2,250
LAG2640	2,640	1,948.00	0.26	1,607.70	2,244
LANG2500	2,500	9,468.00	0.15	1,867.50	1,750
TNG900	900	2,575.00	0.24	443.00	900
TNG2200	2,200	5,264.00	0.23	955.90	2,200
NCSP1800	1,800	4,881.00	0.24	1,021.40	1,440
SCG3500	3,500	13,143.00	0.19	2,288.40	2,625
TXNP3000	3,000	2,316.00	0.17	1,543.30	1,200
TXNP10000	10,000	19,655.00	0.15	5,709.80	5,700
TXPG2500	2,500	5,699.00	0.22	1,583.80	1,453
TXHG2500	2,500	2,833.00	0.48	614.00	1,700
TXWG1600	1,600	1,619.00	0.22	537.80	1,050
TXUG1600	1,600	1,014.00	0.12	1,431.70	150

Representative Farm: Feed Grains

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period							
Farm Name	Overall	Ranking	P(Negative Ending Cash)	P(Real Net Worth Declines)			
10/2/11	2016	2020	2016-2020	2016-2020			
IAG1350			99-99	99-99			
IAG3400			95-96	99-98			
NEG2400			1-29	81-76			
NEG4300			1-45	93-90			
NDG3000			2-32	79-64			
NDG8000			1-1	23-9			
ING1000			1-8	52-19			
ING2200			16-50	90-68			
MOCG2300			1-1	7-1			
MOCG4000			1-1	1-1			
MONG2300			1-1	5-4			
LAG2640			49-57	75-62			
LANG2500			1-1	35-6			
TNG900			78-76	91-74			
TNG2200			69-73	96-74			
NCSP1800			93-99	99-99			
SCG3500			1-4	42-22			
TXNP3000			5-31	27-34			
TXNP10000			1-1	1-1			
TXPG2500			3-4	17-4			
TXHG2500			99-99	99-99			
TXWG1600			96-99	98-99			
TXUG1600			1-1	7-12			
1 Viability is classified as good (green), mo	oderate (yellow), a	and poor (red) bas	ed on the probabilities:				

<25 25-50 >50

2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Feed Grains and Oilseeds

	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net Worth 2020	CRNW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
IAG1350	977.08	37.19	1.02	(1,452.81)	3,946.60	(3.40)
IAG3400	2,229.84	91.87	106.35	(2,339.40)	10,618.80	(2.30)
NEG2400	1,822.72	66.28	221.89	455.71	5,713.34	(0.90)
NEG4300	3,445.08	114.19	436.28	217.61	19,962.81	(0.97)
NDG3000	1,207.98	47.72	200.52	251.43	3,478.78	(0.25)
NDG8000	3,549.30	136.01	1,054.79	4,318.77	25,058.96	1.29
ING1000	605.99	23.34	208.47	242.95	3,313.19	0.92
ING2200	1,453.71	53.05	312.72	(24.03)	8,669.13	0.28
MOCG2300	1,521.58	48.66	755.21	1,743.58	15,600.05	1.77
MOCG4000	2,303.81	70.76	1,117.50	3,041.72	21,920.55	2.10
MONG2300	1,627.32	52.98	459.83	1,468.32	10,525.90	1.12
LAG2640	1,750.30	68.32	168.78	(122.80)	1,492.45	(0.69)
LANG2500	1,963.10	92.77	458.63	1,555.91	8,834.58	1.79
TNG900	481.47	11.31	125.68	(169.66)	1,921.56	(0.16)
TNG2200	1,028.82	35.11	231.94	(318.89)	4,003.65	0.22
NCSP1800	1,156.74	116.65	20.20	(1,172.06)	2,772.61	(4.44)
SCG3500	2,350.75	146.06	435.96	1,523.11	11,007.49	1.03
TXNP3000	1,648.10	85.36	230.39	247.66	2,265.55	0.77
TXNP10000	5,770.15	258.44	1,761.35	7,504.44	21,412.96	4.18
TXPG2500	1,737.75	89.05	462.44	839.34	5,327.90	3.06
TXHG2500	698.54	67.38	(72.27)	(1,970.51)	294.77	(15.37)
TXWG1600	544.76	41.42	(3.72)	(767.35)	657.26	(8.55)
TXUG1600	1,349.89	104.10	201.28	564.60	1,112.47	4.08

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

- Four wheat farms are projected to be in good overall financial condition, one is in marginal condition, and six are in poor condition.
- Six of the eleven wheat farms are expected to feel significant liquidity pressure over the period; those six farms along with one additional farm have a greater than 50 percent chance of losing real equity.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Wheat, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Wheat
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(acres)
WAW2000	2,000	2,486.00	0.14	720.70	1,320
WAW7000	7,000	9,506.00	0.24	2,272.10	4,060
WAAW4500	4,000	2,325.00	0.21	419.50	2,000
ORW4100	4,100	2,093.00	0.16	378.20	1,950
MTW7000	7,000	7,398.00	0.18	994.80	4,200
KSCW2000	2,000	2,983.00	0.22	482.40	1,000
KSCW5300	5,300	6,334.00	0.16	1,125.50	3,445
KSNW4000	4,000	4,827.00	0.24	754.50	1,500
KSNW5980	5,980	10,253.00	0.29	1,307.10	1,820
COW3000	3,000	3,104.00	0.16	380.80	970
COW5640	5,640	4,459.00	0.20	684.10	1,900

Representative Farm: Wheat

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period

Farm Name	Overall	Ranking	P(Negative Ending Cash)	P(Real Net Worth Declines)
4/1/6	2016	2020	2016-2020	2016-2020
WAW2000			1-1	1-2
WAW7000			98-99	99-99
WAAW4500			96-99	99-99
MTW7000			1-1	1-1
ORW4100			6-16	62-56
KSCW2000			6-61	89-77
KSCW5300			1-1	1-3
KSNW4000			97-88	92-81
KSNW5980			99-99	99-99
COW3000			1-1	14-13
COW5640			25-86	97-92
1 Viability is classified as good (green) mo	derate (vellow)	and noor (red) has	ed on the probabilities:	

<25</p>
25-50

2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Wheat

	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net Worth 2020	CRNW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
WAW2000	791.24	32.18	244.80	1,017.57	2,497.25	2.70
WAW7000	2,508.61	116.63	(73.16)	(3,578.67)	4,425.34	(7.02)
WAAW4500	439.06	24.38	6.27	(637.75)	1,254.40	(5.44)
ORW4100	427.85	29.84	127.33	215.77	1,723.64	(0.33)
MTW7000	1,179.81	107.95	529.92	2,110.18	7,025.12	2.52
KSCW2000	498.45	20.04	112.76	(70.61)	2,165.06	(0.96)
KSCW5300	1,142.64	54.07	385.41	1,983.75	6,123.85	2.46
KSNW4000	841.38	54.84	163.69	(496.86)	3,397.14	(0.81)
KSNW5980	1,431.93	77.96	26.40	(2,519.89)	5,698.94	(3.45)
COW3000	413.69	22.36	149.54	378.96	2,738.40	0.93
COW5640	732.00	43.74	137.60	(378.84)	3,228.94	(1.41)

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

- Three of the fifteen cotton farms are characterized in good overall financial condition over the 2016-2020 period, four are in marginal condition, and eight are in poor condition.
- Eight of the farms are projected to experience severe cash flow problems (having a greater than 50 percent chance of a cash flow deficit).
- Nine farms are expected to have a greater than 50 percent chance of losing real equity over the period.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Cotton, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Cotton
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(acres)
TXSP2500	2,500	1,711.00	0.37	867.50	2,275
TXSP4500	4,500	3,679.00	0.28	1,971.40	4,047
TXEC5000	5,000	4,101.00	0.24	2,001.20	4,150
TXRP2500	2,500	1,252.00	0.26	450.10	1,000
TXMC1800	1,800	1,626.00	0.20	683.50	810
TXCB3000	3,000	2,433.00	0.19	1,280.80	1,350
TXCB9200	9,200	6,428.00	0.20	4,367.90	3,680
TXVC4500	4,500	6,390.00	0.14	1,856.50	1,395
TNC2500	2,500	3,050.00	0.06	1,313.10	250
TNC4050	4,050	7,383.00	0.20	2,479.70	2,025
ALC3000	3,000	2,311.00	0.31	1,520.00	1,050
GAC2300	2,300	10,110.00	0.21	2,449.80	1,200
SCC1800	1,800	4,382.00	0.24	1,336.70	900
NCC1700	1,700	2,892.00	0.22	953.30	225
NCNP1500	1,500	3,537.00	0.29	954.60	375

Representative Farm: Cotton

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period **Overall Ranking** Farm Name P(Negative Ending Cash) P(Real Net Worth Declines) 3/4/8 2016 2020 2016-2020 2016-2020 TXSP2500 80-98 78-92 **52-48 TXSP4500** 17-54 35-24 **TXEC5000** 5-3 **TXRP2500** 99-99 99-99 TXMC1800 65-77 85-83 22-30 **TXCB3000** 37-45 **TXCB9200** 35-56 67-71 TXVC4500 1-1 15-10 **TNC2500** 1-1 1-1 TNC4050 3-33 89-67 ALC3000 84-97 98-97 GAC2300 9-31 99-72 SCC1800 68-42 24-35 NCC1700 39-86 98-95 **NCNP1500** 99-99 99-99

1 Viability is classified as good (green), moderate (yellow), and poor (red) based on the probabilities:

<25 25-50

2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Cotton

	Dessints	Doumonto	NCET	Decem <i>ie</i> 2020	Not Worth 2020	CDNIM
	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net worth 2020	CRINW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
TXSP2500	894.11	53.06	3.14	(1,201.51)	385.83	(14.51)
TXSP4500	1,951.43	117.41	295.16	(101.89)	2,714.90	0.01
TXEC5000	2,177.22	155.04	433.91	314.80	3,854.74	2.87
TXRP2500	413.17	30.79	32.52	(393.33)	602.03	(6.25)
TXMC1800	925.74	75.94	87.11	(262.16)	1,047.50	(3.33)
TXCB3000	1,122.09	117.48	179.31	47.07	1,901.47	0.39
TXCB9200	3,868.82	340.15	303.52	(248.39)	4,064.08	(2.54)
TXVC4500	1,991.53	186.26	419.72	1,193.88	6,091.97	1.79
TNC2500	1,389.14	48.53	361.73	2,129.80	3,751.02	4.69
TNC4050	2,592.42	175.84	222.15	315.42	5,879.68	0.23
ALC3000	1,614.22	102.54	37.01	(1,587.30)	514.82	(14.02)
GAC2300	2,575.66	412.21	378.48	211.31	8,220.14	0.64
SCC1800	1,414.94	177.44	223.20	178.16	3,554.80	1.13
NCC1700	987.49	31.85	90.27	(389.64)	1,807.58	(3.21)
NCNP1500	1,018.86	148.83	25.44	(1,497.99)	1,566.51	(6.56)

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

Representative Farm: Rice

- Nine of the fourteen representative rice farms are projected to be in good overall financial condition, one is in marginal condition, and four are in poor condition.
- Four of the rice farms are expected to face severe cash flow problems; those same four farms also have high likelihoods of losing real equity.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Rice, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Rice
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(acres)
CAR550	550	3,592.00	0.24	792.90	500
CAR3000	3,000	13,621.00	0.18	4,775.20	3,000
CABR1300	1,300	9,610.00	0.16	2,009.00	1,200
CACR800	800	5,850.00	0.14	1,326.50	800
TXR1500	1,500	2,320.00	0.18	870.70	600
TXR3000	3,000	1,998.00	0.10	1,882.40	1,500
TXBR1800	1,800	1,614.00	0.13	1,019.10	1,200
TXER3200	3,200	2,651.00	0.21	1,369.50	1,067
LASR2000	2,000	3,200.00	0.24	1,361.70	1,000
ARMR6500	6,500	11,515.00	0.45	3,586.40	325
ARSR3240	3,240	6,587.00	0.20	1,993.40	1,296
ARWR2500	2,500	7,851.00	0.17	1,696.10	1,250
ARHR3000	3,000	7,885.00	0.31	2,129.00	1,800
MSDR5000	5,000	17,974.00	0.18	3,362.70	1,667

Representative Farm: Rice

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period Farm Name **Overall Ranking** P(Negative Ending Cash) P(Real Net Worth Declines) 2016-2020 9/1/4 2016 2020 2016-2020 CAR550 99-99 99-99 4-11 15-11 CAR3000 **CABR1300** 1-1 1-1 **CACR800** 22-12 4-4 **TXR1500** 14-4 5-1 TXR3000 1-1 2-1 **TXBR1800** 1-1 1-1 **TXER3200** 26-58 74-80 LASR2000 1-1 28-2 **ARMR6500** 99-99 99-99 **ARSR3240** 3-8 80-45 **ARWR2500** 29-47 78-47 **ARHR3000** 99-99 99-99 **MSDR5000** 13-21 4-5

1 Viability is classified as good (green), moderate (yellow), and poor (red) based on the probabilities:

2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Rice

	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net Worth 2020	CRNW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
CAR550	804.15	25.11	110.12	(673.33)	2,272.84	(2.89)
CAR3000	4,792.90	135.73	723.12	2,638.18	12,424.48	1.95
CABR1300	2,046.08	60.31	663.07	3,199.96	9,490.57	2.64
CACR800	1,322.05	39.89	275.30	884.49	5,147.35	0.66
TXR1500	894.55	43.19	256.82	360.14	2,321.63	3.34
TXR3000	1,839.90	70.66	434.93	1,563.70	2,772.37	8.52
TXBR1800	1,083.80	47.23	359.45	1,841.32	2,453.73	9.90
TXER3200	1,776.98	69.45	161.60	(80.02)	2,082.81	(1.66)
LASR2000	1,374.59	56.08	287.35	1,105.87	3,025.58	4.28
ARMR6500	3,850.12	163.06	(939.18)	(11,348.81)	(1,591.40)	(27.59)
ARSR3240	2,083.05	89.46	385.33	1,100.59	5,626.22	1.27
ARWR2500	1,735.98	81.79	320.59	(23.25)	6,616.76	0.60
ARHR3000	2,211.31	95.25	95.60	(3,165.08)	3,618.44	(5.54)
MSDR5000	3,633.60	109.82	1,062.43	1,011.48	16,235.29	1.66

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

Representative Farm: Dairy

- Twelve of the twenty dairy operations are in good overall financial condition. Four dairies are classified in marginal condition, and four are in poor condition.
- Five of the dairies are projected to experience severe liquidity pressure; however, only two dairies face a greater than 50 percent chance of losing real equity.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Milk, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Cows
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(number)
CAD2000	1,200	26,477.00	0.22	9,288.60	2,000
WAD300	250	4,385.00	0.13	1,195.50	300
WAD850	605	12,469.00	0.20	4,320.80	850
IDD3000	1,500	32,593.00	0.18	14,809.50	3,000
NVD1000	200	9,097.00	0.16	5,095.10	1,000
TXND3800	1,920	31,657.00	0.23	17,558.90	3,800
TXCD1500	616	10,777.00	0.18	6,519.80	1,500
TXED400	950	3,449.00	0.24	1,431.10	400
WID145	600	3,773.00	0.25	836.30	145
WID1000	2,000	13,405.00	0.20	5,808.30	1,000
NYWD500	1,000	7,293.00	0.16	2,781.80	500
NYWD1200	2,100	17,812.00	0.19	6,312.00	1,200
NYCD180	400	3,985.00	0.22	860.00	180
NYCD675	1,500	13,259.00	0.17	3,408.40	675
VTD140	220	1,822.00	0.34	643.10	140
VTD400	1,000	5,691.00	0.23	2,051.10	400
MOGD550	0	4,398.00	0.17	1,432.60	550
MOGD180	0	1,426.00	0.18	518.60	180
FLND550	600	4,557.00	0.19	2,805.70	550
FLSD1750	400	12,888.00	0.20	8,627.10	1,750

Representative Farm: Dairy

Farm Name	Overall	Ranking	P(Negative Ending Cash)	P(Real Net Worth Declines)		
12/4/4	2016	2020	2016-2020	2016-2020		
CAD2000			9-8	1-3		
WAD300			20-55	55-68		
WAD850			3-1	1-1		
IDD3000			64-56	11-30		
NVD1000			1-1	1-2		
TXND3800			15-41	2-17		
TXCD1500			59-63	13-49		
TXED400			65-43	4-12		
WID145			15-29	2-10		
WID1000			1-1	2-2		
NYWD500			1-1	1-1		
NYWD1200			1-1	1-1		
NYCD180			19-27	1-4		
NYCD675			1-1	1-1		
VTD140			99-99	93-99		
VTD400			71-58	3-18		
MOGD550			1-1	1-1		
MOGD180			1-1	1-1		
FLND550			24-17	1-6		
FLSD1750			68-30	4-10		
1 Viability is classified as good (green), moderate (yellow), and poor (red) based on the probabilities:						

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period

2 25-50 >50
2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the

probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Milk

-	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net Worth 2020	CRNW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
CAD2000	9,768.12	0.68	1,576.17	3,213.16	22,200.95	1.91
WAD300	1,257.88	2.82	116.24	(72.80)	3,474.11	(1.09)
WAD850	4,561.80	1.68	997.24	3,078.56	11,811.77	3.93
IDD3000	14,800.56	0.73	1,163.61	(759.04)	24,886.57	(0.15)
NVD1000	5,087.20	0.00	767.20	2,583.21	8,436.31	2.90
TXND3800	18,020.31	0.53	1,778.91	965.67	23,801.49	0.62
TXCD1500	6,705.87	0.00	242.55	(760.06)	7,189.61	(2.46)
TXED400	1,473.48	0.00	253.64	67.91	2,683.61	1.45
WID145	841.26	2.71	209.60	151.32	2,850.64	0.58
WID1000	5,920.85	7.17	1,036.61	3,614.15	12,160.58	3.48
NYWD500	2,907.43	5.37	856.27	4,265.48	8,294.14	6.13
NYWD1200	6,599.33	1.29	1,488.96	6,317.48	17,425.76	3.89
NYCD180	891.32	0.00	203.84	110.20	3,093.88	0.24
NYCD675	3,553.30	0.00	968.30	2,376.81	12,599.44	2.75
VTD140	672.43	3.14	9.87	(768.29)	712.85	(6.84)
VTD400	2,149.18	11.34	244.43	(122.57)	4,249.52	0.19
MOGD550	1,471.18	0.00	598.78	2,142.94	4,876.10	6.00
MOGD180	536.42	0.00	188.13	480.37	1,388.74	4.15
FLND550	2,863.49	0.00	406.59	658.42	4,054.22	2.57
FLSD1750	8,847.41	0.00	957.90	1,265.77	11,293.74	2.54

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

Representative Farm: Cow/Calf

- Two of eleven cow-calf operations are projected to be in good overall financial condition, eight are in marginal condition, and one is in poor condition.
- One of the operations will face significant liquidity pressure over the period; however, nine of the operations do face a severe threat of losing real equity.



Characteristics of Panel Farms Producing Beef Cattle, 2015.

	Cropland	Assets	Debt/Asset	Gross Receipts	Cows
	(acres)	(\$1,000)	(ratio)	(\$1,000)	(number)
NVB650	1,300	8,709.00	0.02	812.60	650
NVSB550	125	3,432.00	0.05	737.80	550
MTB600	0	8,970.00	0.02	672.20	600
WYB475	330	6,630.00	0.02	566.80	435
COB275	650	14,989.00	0.02	406.70	275
NMB240	0	7,738.00	0.01	345.60	240
SDB375	1,150	8,316.00	0.01	456.90	375
MOB250	280	3,668.00	0.03	469.50	250
TXRB400	0	9,059.00	0.01	643.10	400
TXSB275	0	5,497.00	0.02	350.60	275
FLB1155	5,400	26,814.00	0.01	1,194.90	1,155

Representative Farm: Cow/Calf

Economic Viability of Representative Farms over the 2016-2020 Period

Farm Name	Overall Ranking		P(Negative Ending Cash)	P(Real Net Worth Declines)		
2/8/1	2016	2020	2016-2020	2016-2020		
NVB650			1-1	91-99		
NVSB550			1-1	1-1		
MTB600			1-1	7-71		
WYB475			1-1	40-97		
COB275			1-1	99-99		
NMB240			1-1	72-99		
SDB375			1-21	24-99		
MOB250			1-1	1-1		
TXRB400			1-10	47-99		
TXSB275			1-62	86-99		
FLB1155			1-1	46-99		
1 Viability is classified as good (green), moderate (vellow), and noor (red) based on the probabilities:						

<25</p>

 25-50
 >50

2 P(NegativeEnding Cash) is the probability that the farm will have a cash flow deficit. Reported values represent the probabilities for 2016 and 2020.

3 P(Real Net Worth Decline) is the probability that the farm will have a loss in real net worth relative to the beginning net worth. Reported values represent the probabilities for losing real net worth from 2014 to 2016 and from 2014 to 2020.

Implications of the January 2016 FAPRI Baseline on the Economic Viability of Representative Farms Primarily Producing Beef Cattle

	Receipts	Payments	NCFI	Reserve 2020	Net Worth 2020	CRNW
	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(\$1,000)	(%)
NVB650	635.58	0.00	235.73	823.43	8,137.09	(0.60)
NVSB550	587.64	0.00	279.95	1,386.66	3,581.70	1.76
MTB600	492.47	0.00	198.90	771.96	8,318.36	(0.65)
WYB475	443.17	0.00	135.19	209.92	5,942.23	(1.13)
COB275	286.65	0.00	105.53	351.41	13,713.64	(1.05)
NMB240	269.72	0.00	130.38	221.07	7,080.94	(1.03)
SDB375	338.02	0.00	118.06	58.71	7,503.34	(1.24)
MOB250	394.34	5.23	209.82	746.06	3,585.00	0.12
TXRB400	492.75	0.00	152.18	120.52	8,226.93	(1.12)
TXSB275	272.09	0.00	80.94	(20.42)	4,885.97	(1.36)
FLB1155	911.15	0.00	354.76	1,745.54	25,311.60	(0.57)

1 Receipts are average annual total cash receipts including government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

2 Payments are average annual total government payments, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

3 NCFI is average annual net cash farm income, 2016-2020 (\$1,000)

4 Reserve 2020 is average ending cash reserves, 2020 (\$1,000)

5 Net Worth 2020 is average nominal ending net worth, 2020 (\$1,000)

AFPC Briefing Series

The briefing series is designed to facilitate presentation by AFPC related to requests for specific policy impact analyses. The materials included in this package are intended only as visual support for an oral presentation. The user is cautioned against drawing extraneous conclusions from the material. In most instances, an AFPC Working Paper will follow the briefing series. AFPC welcomes comments and discussions of these results and their implications. Address such comments to:

Agricultural and Food Policy Center Department of Agricultural Economics Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843-2124

or call 979-845-5913.

Mention of a trademark or a proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or a warranty of the product by the Texas A&M AgriLife Research or Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that also may be suitable.

The members of Texas A&M AgriLife will provide equal opportunities in programs and activities, education, and employment to all persons regardless of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, disability, genetic information, veteran status, sexual orientation or gender identity and will strive to achieve full and equal employment opportunity throughout Texas A&M AgriLife.